# Michigan School Band \& Orchestra Association Official Solo and Ensemble Festival Adjudication Form 



Adjudicator's Comments
Judge's Name

STRONG POINTS:
WEAK POINTS:
SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT:

[^0]The Five Divisional Ratings
Division I - Superior - Highly refined and developed, considering the classification. Consistency is demonstrated throughout the solo or within the ensemble. A few minor isolated flaws might exist, but they do not detract from the performance.
Division II - Excellent - Somewhat refined and developed and consistency is often demonstrated in the solo or within the ensemble. Noticeable flaws sometimes detract from the performance.
Division III - Good - Developing, consistency is sometimes demonstrated by the soloist or within the ensemble, but not yet refined. Noticeable flaws often detract from the performance.
Division IV - Fair - Not yet developing or refined, consistency is seldom demonstrated by the soloist or within the ensemble. Obvious flaws consistently detract from the performance.
Division (V) - Poor - Consistency is infrequently demonstrated by the soloist or ensemble.


Section 1 - Scales
(Each scale or etude is rated from 0 to 5 points with 5 being the highest)
Scales Total (25)
Sight Reading Total (25) $\qquad$
Solo Total (50)

## Final Score



Major Scales:
Minor Scales or Arpeggios:
Chromatic Scale or Technical Etude when requested:
Scales or Etudes Requested by the Adjudicator:

1. $\qquad$ 2. $\qquad$ 3. $\qquad$
2. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Scales \& Etudes
Total Score
(Perfect score is 25)

| Notes | Ley |
| :--- | :--- |
| Key |  |
| Rhythm |  |
| Dynamics |  |
| Phrasing and |  |
| Articulation |  |

Section 2 - Sight Reading
(Each category is rated from 0 to 5 points with 5 being the highest)
Sight Reading
Total Score
(Perfect score is 25 )

Section 3 - Prepared Solo
Composition:



## The Five Divisional Ratings

Division I - Superior - Highly refined and developed, considering the classification. Consistency is demonstrated within the ensemble. A few minor isolated flaws might exist, but they do not detract from the performance.
Division II - Excellent - Somewhat refined and developed and consistency is often demonstrated within the ensemble. Noticeable flaws sometimes detract from the performance.
Division III - Good - Developing, consistency is sometimes demonstrated within the ensemble, but not yet refined. Noticeable flaws often detract from the performance
Division IV - Fair - Not yet developing or refined, consistency is seldom demonstrated within the ensemble. Obvious flaws consistently detract from the performance.
Division (V) - Poor - Consistency is infrequently demonstrated by the ensemble.

# Michigan School Band \& Orchestra Association Solo and Ensemble Festival Official Piano Adjudication Form 


(Do not use plus or rnimes)

I, II, III, IV, V
Circle the Roman Numeral

Judge's Signature

Judge's Name

Adjudicator's Comments

STRONG POINTS:

WEAK POINTS:
SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT:

In the square opposite each category, one of the letter grades A, B, C, D, or E should be used. This grade will represent an evaluation of the entire performance in that category. The letter grades correspond to the Roman Numeral I, II, III, IV, or V.
The Final Rating (Roman Numeral,) in the upper right corner, should correlate directly with the appropriate distribution of letter grades allotted in the category squares.
The Final Rating is determined by a predominance of grades in the first five categories, e.g.:
Division I will include three grades of "A,"
Division II will include three grades of "B,"
Division III will include three grades of "C," etc.
The Five Divisional Ratings
Division I - Superior - Highly refined and developed, considering the classification. Consistency is demonstrated throughout the solo or within the ensemble. A few minor isolated flaws might exist, but they do not detract from the performance.
Division II - Excellent - Somewhat refined and developed and consistency is often demonstrated in the solo or within the ensemble. Noticeable flaws sometimes detract from the performance
Division III - Good - Developing, consistency is sometimes demonstrated by the soloist or within the ensemble, but not yet refined. Noticeable flaws often detract from the performance.
Division IV - Fair - Not yet developing or refined, consistency is seldom demonstrated by the soloist or within the ensemble. Obvious flaws consistently detract from the performance.
Division (V) - Poor - Consistency is infrequently demonstrated by the soloist or ensemble.

Michigan School Band \& Orchestra Association
Official Piano Proficiency Examination Form
(A total score of 85 points is required to pass a proficiency examination)


## Section 1 - Scales

(Each scale is rated from 0 to 3 points with 3 being the highest)
Major Scales \& Arpeggios:
Minor Scales \& Arpeggios:

1. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
2. $\qquad$
3. $\qquad$
4. $\qquad$
Scales \& Arpeggios Total Score
$\underset{\text { (Perfect screc is } 15)}{ }$

Sight Reading

Composition: $\qquad$

| INTERPRETATION <br> Tempo <br> Style <br> Phrasing <br> Choice of selection |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| RHYTHM Accents Metre Metre Precision Interpretation of rhythmic figures $\qquad$ |  |
|  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Beauy } \\ \text { Control }}}{\text { TONE }}$ |  |

Section 3 - Sight Reading
(Each category is rated from 0 to 4 points with 4 being the highest)


Total Score
(Perfect score is 20)
Section 4 - Prepared Solo
(Each category is rated from 0 to 10 with 10 being the highest) Composer: $\qquad$

Adjudicator's Comments


## Section 2 - Invention or Fugue

Title:
Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Invention or Fugue


## Section 1 - Scales, Arpeggios and Exercises <br> (Each scale is rated from 0 to 5 points with 5 being the highest)

Major Scales
Harmonic Minor Scales
Arpeggios
Technical Exercise (when required)

| Scales, arpeggios or exercises requested by the adjudicator: |
| :--- |
| 1. |
| 3. |
| 5. |


| Notes |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Key |  |
| Rhythm |  |
| Dynamics |  |
| Phrasing and |  |
| Articulation |  |

Scales, Arpeggios and Exercises Total Score
(Perfect score is 25)
Section 2 - Sight Reading
(Each category is rated from 0 to 4 points with 4 being the highest)

Sight Reading
Total Score
(Perfect score is 20)
Section 3 - Prepared Solo
(Each category is rated from 0 to 10 with 10 being the highest)
Composition: $\qquad$ Composer: $\qquad$

| INTERPRETATION <br> Tempo <br> Style Phrasing <br> Phrasing Dynamics <br> Choice of selection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



Adjudicator's Comments

Solo Total
Score
(Perfect score is 50 points)


# Michigan School Band \& Orchestra Association Solo and Ensemble Festival <br> Official Percussion Adjudication Form 

 Final Rating

I, II, III, IV,
V
Circle the Roman Numeral

Judge's Signature

Judge's Nam

Adjudicator's Comments

STRONG POINTS:
WEAK POINTS:
SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT:

[^1]
## The Five Divisional Ratings

Division I - Superior - Highly refined and developed, considering the classification. Consistency is demonstrated throughout the solo or within the ensemble. A few minor isolated flaws might exist, but they do not detract from the performance
Division II - Excellent - Somewhat refined and developed and consistency is often demonstrated in the solo or within the ensemble. Noticeable flaws sometimes detract from the performance. Division III - Good - Developing, consistency is sometimes demonstrated by the soloist or within the ensemble, but not yet refined. Noticeable flaws often detract from the performance.
Division IV - Fair - Not yet developing or refined, consistency is seldom demonstrated by the soloist or within the ensemble. Obvious flaws consistently detract from the performance.
Division (V) - Poor - Consistency is infrequently demonstrated by the soloist or ensemble.

Michigan School Band \& Orchestra Association
Official Snare Drum Proficiency Examination Form


Sight Reading Total ${ }_{(25)}$
Stick Control (25)
Solo Total (50)


Section 1 - Sight Reading


Section 2 - Stick Control
(Stick Control proficiency rudiments are not cumulative. Rudiments must be performed slow-fast-slow at a consistent volume.) (Each category is rated from 0 to 5 points with 5 being the highest. Score only for the proficiency level entered.)

| Proficiency I requirements requirements | Proficiency II requirements | Proficienc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long double bounce roll (two sounds with each hand) | Long double bounce roll (two sounds with each hand) | Long double bounce roll (two sounds with each hand) |
| Long multiple bounce roll | Long multiple bounce roll | Long multiple bounce roll |
| Flam (hand to hand) | Flam \& ruff in combination patterns <br> Flamacue <br> Flamparadiddle <br> Single drag <br> Single ratamacue | Rolls <br> 5 stroke roll <br> 7 stroke roll <br> 9 stroke roll <br> 13 stroke roll |
| Ruff (hand to hand) | Single stroke roll | Single stroke roll |
| Five stroke roll (with each hand) | Diddle" patterns <br> Paradiddle <br> Double paradiddle | Flam combination patterns <br> Flam tap <br> Flam accent <br> Double pataflafla <br> Swiss triplets |
| Proficiency I Stick Control Total Score | Proficiency II Stick Control Total Score | Proficiency III <br> Stick Control <br> Total Score <br> (Perfect score is 25) |

Section 3 - Prepared Solo
Composition:
(Each category is rated from 0 to 10 with 10 being the highest)


Adjudicator's Comments


Michigan School Band \& Orchestra Association
Official Timpani Proficiency Examination Form


Sight Reading Total (25)
Etude (25)


Section 1 - Sight Reading


## Section 2-Etude



Etude Total

## Score

(Perfect score is 25 points)


## Section 3 - Prepared Solo

(Each category is rated from 0 to 10 with 10 being the highest)
Composition: $\qquad$ Composer: $\qquad$


Adjudicator's Comments

Solo Total
Score
(Perfect score is 50 points)

(Additional space for comments on the back)

Michigan School Band \& Orchestra Association
Official Melody Percussion Proficiency Examination Form


Section 1 - Scales and/or Chord Progressions
(Each scale or chord progression is rated from 0 to 5 with 5 being the highest)
Major scales, Minor scales, Chromatic scale
Scales/Chords Total
Sight Reading Total (25) $\qquad$
Solo Total (50)
Final Score
Judge's Signature
Judge's Name

For Proficiency II and III: Four mallet chord progressions
Scales and/or Chord Progressions requested by the Adjudicator:

1. $\qquad$
2. $\qquad$ 3. $\qquad$
3. $\qquad$ 5. $\qquad$
Scales \& Chords
Total Score
(Perfect score is 25)

Section 2 - Sight Reading

(Each category is rated from 0 to 5 with 5 being the highest)



[^0]:    In the square opposite each category, one of the letter grades A, B, C, D, or E should be used. This grade will represent an evaluation of the entire performance in that category.
    The letter grades correspond to the Roman Numeral I, II, III, IV, or V.
    The Final Rating (Roman Numeral,) in the upper right corner, should correlate directly with the appropriate distribution of letter grades allotted in the category squares.
    The Final Rating is determined by a predominance of grades in the first five categories, e.g.:
    Division I will include three grades of "A,"
    Division II will include three grades of "B,"
    Division III will include three grades of "C," etc.

[^1]:    In the square opposite each category, one of the letter grades A, B, C, D, or E should be used. This grade will represent an evaluation of the entire performance in that category
    The letter grades correspond to the Roman Numeral I, II, III, IV, or V.
    The Final Rating (Roman Numeral,) in the upper right corner, should correlate directly with the appropriate distribution of letter grades allotted in the category squares.
    The Final Rating is determined by a predominance of grades in the first five categories, eg:
    Division I will include three grades of "A,", Division II will include three grades of "B,", Division III will include three grades of "C," etc.

